Doc Code:

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

A-F 31 29W

Under the Pa	sperwork Reduction Act of 1995	, no persor	s are required to re-	spond to a coll	ection of info	ormation u	nless it o	displays a valid OMB control number.	
O.E.			Application Nu	mber 	10/626,145	5			
RANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date	07/24/2003 Gerald ARSENAULT et al.					
			First Named In						
SEP 15 2015 E			Art Unit		3676				
() I a consider the second of			Examiner Nam	е	Mark Williams				
(to be used all correspondence after initial filing)  The submission			Attorney Docket Number TCHP:101US			IIC .	9		
bial Hember of	of Pages in This Submission				TCHP: 1010				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)  After Allowance Communication to TC									
Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request		Petition Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Ferminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD		ddress	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): - Receipt postcard - Copy of pages 2100-120 and 2100-127 of Manual of Patent Examining Procedures				
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53  SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT									
Firm Name SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC									
Signature / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /									
Jan July									
Printed name Howard M. Ellis									
Date	September 13, 2005			F	Reg. No.	25,856			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:									
Signature	Value	Sile	warty						
Typed or printed	name Elaine Schwartz		$\smile$			!	Date	September 13, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

## ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. TCHP:101 US

# INITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Gerald Arsenault et al.

Art Unit 3676

Application No.: 10/626,145

Filed:

July 24, 2003

Confirmation No.: 9311

Examiner:

Mark Williams

For:

CASTER ASSEMBLY

Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail

I certify that this Request for Reconsideration is being deposited on September 13, 2006 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. §1.8 and is addressed to Mail Sop AF, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims 1-22, which was made final in the Official Action of August 22, 2005.

### **ARGUMENTS/REMARKS**

In the Official Action of August 22, 2005, claims 1-22 were finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko in view of Chung et al. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are courteously requested.

It was pointed out in Applicants' last response to the Office dated June 9, 2005, that while Chung et al do disclose a spring member 60 for engagement between edges of caster plate 44 and side retaining flanges 56 and 58 of caster pad 50 (See Fig. 5) to securely hold the caster plate 44 to the caster pad 50, that portion of the spring member 60 extending past the perimeter of the caster pad 50 requires a flange 52. Flange 52, according to col. 4, lines 7, et seq., of